arXiv:1405.7502v1 [math.DG] 29 May 2014

Geometric barriers for the existence of hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures in $\mathbf{M}^n \times \mathbf{R}$.

José A. Gálvez[a] [1], Victorino Lozano[b]

[a] Departamento de Geometría y Topología, Universidad de Granada, E-18071 Granada, Spain; e-mail: jagalvez@ugr.es

[b] Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, U.N.E.D. E-13600 Alcázar de San Juan, Ciudad Real, Spain; e-mail: vlozano@valdepenas.uned.es

Abstract

We show the existence of a deformation process of hypersurfaces from a product space $\mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ into another product space $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the relation of the principal curvatures of the deformed hypersurfaces can be controlled in terms of the sectional curvatures or Ricci curvatures of $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$. In this way, we obtain barriers which are used for proving existence or non existence of hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures in a general product space $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 58J05, 53A10.

1 Introduction.

Our main objective is to describe a simple method for obtaining barriers in a product space $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. To that end, we consider a hypersurface S in a product space $\mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and obtain a new hypersurface S^* in a different product space $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the principal curvatures of S and S^* can be related in terms of the sectional curvatures or Ricci curvatures of $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$.

The previous method has a special interest when S is a hypersurface with constant mean curvature H, or in general with constant r-mean curvature H_r, and $\mathbb{M}_1$ has constant sectional

[1]The first author is partially supported by MICINN-FEDER, Grant No. MTM2010-19821 and by Junta de Andalucía Grant No. FQM325 and P09-FQM-5088.

curvature c. In such a case, we obtain barriers for the existence of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature H_r in a general $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$ if the sectional (or Ricci) curvature of $\mathbb{M}_2$ is bounded from above or below by c.

Thus, we will generalize different known results in the homogeneous spaces $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ or $\mathbb{S}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ to general product spaces $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. For the case $n = 2$ the analogous method was described in [GL].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to the calculus of the principal curvatures of certain graphs in a general product $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ in terms of Jacobi fields on $\mathbb{M}^n$ (Lemma 1). In Section 3 we describe in detail our method for obtaining barriers in a product space $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, and obtain two comparison results. The first one relates the principal curvatures of two graphs S in $\mathbb{M}_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ and S^* in $\mathbb{M}_2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with the sectional curvatures of $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$ (Theorem 1). The second comparison result relates the mean curvatures of S and S^* with the Ricci curvatures of $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$ (Theorem 2).

In Section 4 we show different examples of how these barriers can be used. Thus, in Theorem 3 we prove that given a closed geodesic ball $B_r \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$ of radius r then there is an explicit constant H_0, which only depends on the radius r and the minimum of its Ricci curvature, such that there exists no vertical graph over B_r in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with minimum of its mean curvature greater than or equal to H_0. This generalizes a previous result by Espinar and Rosenberg in [ER] for $n = 2$. In Theorem 4 we obtain an analogous result for Gauss-Kronecker curvature, or in general for r-mean curvature, depending on the sectional curvatures of the closed geodesic ball.

Moreover, in Theorem 5, we prove that, under certain restrictions on the ambient space $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, for every properly embedded hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with mean curvature $H \geq H_0 > 0$, its mean convex component cannot contain a certain geodesic ball of radius r, where r only depends on H_0 and the infimum of the Ricci curvature of $\mathbb{M}$. In particular, this shows the non existence of entire horizontal graphs over a Hadamard manifold for certain values of the mean curvature (Corollary 1).

In Theorem 6 we also prove the existence of vertical graphs in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary on a horizontal slice and constant mean curvature H_0 for any $H_0 \in [0, (n-1)/n]$, when $\mathbb{M}^n$ is a Hadamard manifold with sectional curvature pinched between $-c^2$ and -1. In fact, we show that any compact hypersurface with constant mean curvature H_0 and the same boundary must be the previous graph or its reflection with respect to the slice. This generalizes previous results in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ (see [NSST] and [BE]).

Finally, in Theorem 7 we give a result of existence for vertical graphs with positive constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, which solves the Dirichlet problem for the associated Monge-Ampère equation with zero boundary values.

2 The principal curvatures of the graph.

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be an (n-1)-dimensional manifold and $(\mathbb{M}, g)$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Consider two smooth maps $i : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$ (non necessarily an immersion) and $n : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow T\mathbb{M}$ such that $n(x) \in T_{i(x)}\mathbb{M}$ is a unit vector with $g(di_x(v), n(x)) = 0$ for all $v \in T_x\mathcal{H}$. Here, for instance, $T_p\mathbb{M}$ denotes the tangent space to $\mathbb{M}$ at the point $p \in \mathbb{M}$.

Let I be an open real interval such that 0 is in its closure $\bar{I}$, and assume that the map

$$\varphi(x,t) = \exp_{i(x)}(t\, n(x)), \qquad (x,t) \in \mathcal{H} \times \bar{I}, \tag{1}$$

is smooth and $\varphi_{|\mathcal{H}\times I}$ a global diffeomorphism onto its image; where $\exp$ denotes the exponential map in $\mathbb{M}$.

Observe that $\varphi_{|\mathcal{H}\times I}$ can be seen as a certain parametrization of an open set of $\mathbb{M}$, and the parameter t can be considered as a distance function to $i(x)$.

The polar geodesic parameters at a point $p \in \mathbb{M}$ are examples of the previous situation. For that, one can consider $\mathcal{H}$ as the unit sphere of $T_p\mathbb{M}$, i as the constant map $i(x) = p$ and $n(x) = x$.

Now, let us consider the product space $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ with the standard product metric and let us call h to the parameter in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\psi(x,t)$ be the graph given by the height function $f(t)$ which only depends on the distance function, that is, the graph in $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ parameterized as

$$\psi(x,t) = (\exp_{i(x)}(t\, n(x)), f(t)) = (\varphi(x,t), f(t)). \tag{2}$$

Then, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\overline{\partial}_{x_i} = \partial_{x_i}, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \\ &\overline{\partial}_t = \partial_t + f'(t)\partial_h, \end{aligned}$$

where $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ are local coordinates in $\mathcal{H}$. Here, for instance, ∂_{x_i} denotes the vector field $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ in $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\overline{\partial}_{x_i}$ the corresponding vector field in the graph.

If $\langle , \rangle = g + dh^2$ stands for the product metric in $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, then from the Gauss lemma we obtain

$$\langle \overline{\partial}_{x_i}, \overline{\partial}_t \rangle = g(\partial_{x_i}, \partial_t) = 0.$$

Hence, the pointing upwards unit normal of the graph is

$$N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + f'(t)^2}}(-f'(t)\partial_t + \partial_h).$$

So, if we denote by $\overline{\nabla}$ the Levi-Civita connection in $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$, it is easy to see that

$$-\overline{\nabla}_{\overline{\partial}_t} N = \frac{f''(t)}{(1 + f'(t)^2)^{3/2}}\, \overline{\partial}_t.$$

In particular, $\overline{\partial}_t$ is a principal direction with associated principal curvature

$$k_n = \frac{f''(t)}{(1+f'(t)^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Observe that this principal curvature does not depend on either $\mathcal{H}$, or $\mathbb{M}$, or its metric.

In order to compute the rest of principal curvatures of the graph we will focus on the directions which are orthogonal to $\overline{\partial}_t$, that is, the ones generated by $\overline{\partial}_{x_i}$.

Let $\gamma(t) = \varphi(x_0, t)$ be a geodesic in $\mathbb{M}$ and $J(t)$ a Jacobi field along $\gamma(t)$ with $g(J(t), \gamma'(t)) = 0$. If we denote by ∇ the Levi-Civita connection in $\mathbb{M}$ then the second fundamental form of the graph satisfies

$$II(J,J) = \langle -\overline{\nabla}_J N, J\rangle = g(-\nabla_J \left(\frac{-f'(t)}{\sqrt{1+f'(t)^2}}\partial_t\right), J) = \frac{f'(t)}{\sqrt{1+f'(t)^2}}\, g(\nabla_J \partial_t, J).$$

On the other hand, since J is a Jacobi field then

$$\frac{D^2 J}{dt^2} + R(J,\gamma')\gamma' = 0,$$

where, as usual, we use the notation $\frac{DJ}{dt}$ for $\nabla_{\gamma'(t)}J$ and $R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X\nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y\nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z$.

Moreover, since $\frac{DJ}{dt} = \nabla_J \partial_t$ because J is a Jacobi field, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_J\partial_t, J)\,|_{t_0} &= g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \frac{d}{dt} g(\frac{DJ}{dt}, J)\, dt \\ &= g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left(\left|\frac{DJ}{dt}\right|^2 - g(R(J,\gamma')\gamma', J)\right)\, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that since $\partial_t(x,0) = n(x)$ we have that if i is an immersion then

$$g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0)) = g(\nabla_J\partial_t, J)(0) = -II_{\mathcal{H}}(J(0), J(0)), \tag{3}$$

where $II_{\mathcal{H}}$ will denote the second fundamental form of $i : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$ in the direction of n. On the other hand, the amount $g(J(0), \frac{DJ}{dt}(0))$ vanishes if i is constant (as in the polar geodesic coordinates).

Given a vector field $V(t)$ along $\gamma_{|[0,t_0]}$, with $g(V, \gamma'(t)) = 0$, we will define the *index form* of V as

$$\mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H})}(V,V) = g(V(0), \frac{DV}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left(\left|\frac{DV}{dt}\right|^2 - g(R(V,\gamma')\gamma', V)\right)\, dt. \tag{4}$$

With all of this, we obtain

Lemma 1. *In the previous conditions the graph $\psi(x,t)$ given by (2) has a principal curvature k_n, with principal direction $\overline{\partial}_t$, given by*

$$k_n = \frac{f''(t)}{(1+f'(t)^2)^{3/2}}.$$

Moreover, the second fundamental form of the graph at $\psi(x_0,t_0)$ for a tangent vector v_0 perpendicular to $\overline{\partial}_t$ can be computed as follows: Take a perpendicular Jacobi field $J(t)$ along the geodesic $\gamma(t)=\varphi(x_0,t)$ with $J(t_0)=v_0$ then the second fundamental form is given by

$$II(v_0,v_0) = II(J(t_0),J(t_0)) = \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1+f'(t_0)^2}}\,\mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H})}(J,J). \tag{5}$$

3 Comparison results.

The above lemma will help us to compare the principal curvatures of two graphs $\psi_j(x,t)$, $j=1,2$, with the same height function in two different product spaces $\mathbb{M}_j\times\mathbb{R}$. For that, we need to relate the index forms in the manifolds $\mathbb{M}_1$ and $\mathbb{M}_2$.

Thus, let $(\mathbb{M}_1,g_1)$, $(\mathbb{M}_2,g_2)$ be two Riemannian manifolds with $dim(\mathbb{M}_1)\leq dim(\mathbb{M}_2)$ and $\mathcal{H}_j$, $j=1,2$, two smooth manifolds with $dim(\mathcal{H}_j)=dim(\mathbb{M}_j)-1$. Consider smooth maps $i_j:\mathcal{H}_j\longrightarrow\mathbb{M}_j$ and $n_j:\mathcal{H}_j\longrightarrow T\mathbb{M}_j$ such that $n_j(x)\in T_{i_j(x)}\mathbb{M}_j$ is a unit vector with $g(d(i_j)_x(v),n_j(x))=0$ for all $v\in T_x\mathcal{H}_j$.

Moreover, assume that the maps

$$\varphi_j(x,t)=\exp_{i_j(x)}(t\,n_j(x)),\qquad (x,t)\in\mathcal{H}_j\times\bar{I},$$

are smooth and $\varphi_{j|\mathcal{H}_j\times I}$ a global diffeomorphism onto its image, where I is an open real interval such that 0 is in its closure $\bar{I}$. Then, we consider the two graphs

$$\psi_j(x,t)=(\exp_{i_j(x)}(t\,n_j(x)),f(t))=(\varphi_j(x,t),f(t)), \tag{6}$$

for the same height function $f(t)$ with $f'(t)\geq 0$.

In order to compare the second fundamental forms of both graphs, let $\bar{\imath}:\mathcal{H}_1\longrightarrow\mathcal{H}_2$ be an immersion, $x_0\in\mathcal{H}_1$ and $\gamma_j:[0,t_0]\longrightarrow\mathbb{M}_j$ be the geodesics

$$\gamma_1(t)=\varphi_1(x_0,t),\qquad \gamma_2(t)=\varphi_2(\bar{\imath}(x_0),t).$$

Theorem 1. *In the previous conditions assume $K^1_{\gamma_1(t)}(\pi_1)\leq K^2_{\gamma_2(t)}(\pi_2)$, $t\in[0,t_0]$, for each planes π_j, where $K^j_{\gamma_j(t)}(\pi_j)$ is the sectional curvature of a plane π_j in $\mathbb{M}_j$ containing $\gamma_j'(t)$. If:*

1. *i_j are constant, or*

2. *i_j are immersions and $II_{\mathcal{H}_1}(v,v) \leq II_{\mathcal{H}_2}(w,w)$ for all $v \in di_1(T_{x_0}\mathcal{H}_1), w \in di_2(T_{\bar{\imath}(x_0)}\mathcal{H}_2)$ with $|v| = |w|$,*

then the second fundamental forms of the graphs satisfy

$$II_1(V,V) \geq II_2(W,W)$$

for all tangent vectors V, W such that $|V| = |W|$ and $\langle V, \gamma_1'(t_0)\rangle = 0 = \langle W, \gamma_2'(t_0)\rangle$.

In particular, every principal curvature of the graph ψ_1 at $\varphi_1(x_0,t_0)$ is greater than or equal to every principal curvature of the graph ψ_2 at $\varphi_2(\bar{\imath}(x_0),t_0)$.

Proof. Let $V \in T_{\gamma_1(t_0)}\mathbb{M}_1$ and $W \in T_{\gamma_2(t_0)}\mathbb{M}_2$ with

$$|V| = 1 = |W| \quad \text{and} \quad g_1(V,\gamma_1'(t_0)) = 0 = g_2(W,\gamma_2'(t_0)).$$

As above, V and W are identified as tangent vectors to the graphs at the points $\psi_j(\gamma_j(t_0), f(t_0))$, $j = 1, 2$, respectively.

There exist unique perpendicular Jacobi fields $J_j : [0,t_0] \longrightarrow T\mathbb{M}_j$, $j = 1,2$, along the geodesics γ_1 and γ_2 respectively, such that $J_1(t_0) = V$, $J_2(t_0) = W$ with the additional property: $J_j(0) = 0$ if i_j is constant, or $-dn(J_j(0)) + \frac{DJ_j}{dt}(0)$ is proportional to $\gamma_j'(0)$ if i_j is an immersion (see, for instance, [Wa]).

Let $\{e_k(t)\}_{k=1}^m$ be an orthonormal basis of parallel vector fields along γ_1, which are perpendicular to $\gamma_1'(t)$, with $m = dim(\mathbb{M}_1) - 1$, and such that $e_1(t_0) = J_1(t_0)$. In a similar way, let $\{b_k(t)\}_{k=1}^n$ be an orthonormal basis of parallel vector fields along γ_2, which are perpendicular to $\gamma_2'(t)$, with $n = dim(\mathbb{M}_2) - 1$, and such that $b_1(t_0) = J_2(t_0)$.

We define the functions $a_k(t)$ as the ones given by the equality

$$J_1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k(t) e_k(t).$$

And consider a new vector field along $\gamma_2(t)$ given as

$$W(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k(t) b_k(t).$$

Since $W(t_0) = J_2(t_0)$ the minimizing property of the Jacobi fields (see [Wa]) gives us

$$\mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_2)}(J_2,J_2) \leq \mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_2)}(W,W).$$

Hence,

$$II_2(J_2(t_0),J_2(t_0)) = \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1+f'(t_0)^2}}\,\mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_2)}(J_2,J_2) \leq \frac{f'(t_0)}{\sqrt{1+f'(t_0)^2}}\,\mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_2)}(W,W).$$

Since $|J_1(t)| = |W(t)|$, $|\frac{DJ_1}{dt}(t)| = |\frac{DW}{dt}(t)|$ and $II_{\mathcal{H}_1}(J_1(0), J_1(0)) \leq II_{\mathcal{H}_2}(W(0), W(0))$ when i_j is an immersion, then we obtain from (3), (4), (5) and the previous inequality that

$$II_2(J_2(t_0), J_2(t_0)) \leq II_1(J_1(t_0), J_1(t_0)),$$

as we wanted to show. □

A different proof of this result was given in [GL] when $dim(\mathbb{M}_1) = dim(\mathbb{M}_2) = 2$ as well as many applications.

Theorem 1 gives us a criterium for comparing all the principal curvatures of a graph at a point with all the principal curvatures of another graph at the corresponding point. Now, we look for some weaker conditions in order to compare the mean curvature of both graphs.

Theorem 2. *In the previous conditions assume $dim(\mathbb{M}_1) = dim(\mathbb{M}_2)$ and the metric of $\mathbb{M}_1$ can be written as*

$$g_1 = dt^2 + G(t)g_0, \tag{7}$$

where g_0 is the (n-1)-dimensional metric of a space form. If $Ric^1(\gamma_1'(t)) \leq Ric^2(\gamma_2'(t))$, where $Ric^j(\gamma_j'(t))$ denotes the Ricci curvature in the direction of the unit vector $\gamma_j'(t)$, and

1. *i_j are constant, or*
2. *$i_j : \mathcal{H}_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}_j$ are immersions and their mean curvatures $H_{\mathcal{H}_j}$ satisfy $H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0) \leq H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{\imath}(x_0))$,*

then the mean curvatures H_j of the graphs in $\mathbb{M}_j \times \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$H_1(\gamma_1(t_0)) \geq H_2(\gamma_2(t_0)).$$

Proof. In order to compare the mean curvatures of the graphs given by (6), we use the trace of the second fundamental forms at points $\psi_1(x_0, t_0)$ and $\psi_2(\bar{\imath}(x_0), t_0)$. For this, from (5) and the fact that the function $f(t)$ is increasing, it is sufficient to compare the corresponding sums of the index forms.

Let $\{e_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of parallel fields along $\gamma_1(t)$, orthogonal to $\gamma_1'(t)$, and let $\{b_k(t)\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ be an orthonormal basis of parallel fields along $\gamma_2(t)$, orthogonal to $\gamma_2'(t)$ with $t \in [0, t_0]$. Then, there exist unique perpendicular Jacobi fields $J_k^j : [0, t_0] \longrightarrow TM_j$, with $j = 1, 2$ and $k = 1, ..., n-1$ along $\gamma_1(t)$ and $\gamma_2(t)$ respectively, such that

1. $J_k^1(t_0) = e_k(t_0), \quad J_k^2(t_0) = b_k(t_0), \quad k = 1, ..., n-1.$
2. $J_k^j(0) = 0$ if i_j are constant maps, and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_j(J_k^j(0), \frac{DJ_k^j}{dt}(0)) = 0, \quad j = 1, 2; \quad \text{or}$$

$-dn(J_k^j(0)) + \frac{DJ_k^j}{dt}(0)$ is proportional to $\gamma_j'(0)$ if i_j are immersions (see, for instance, [Wa]), and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_1(J_k^1(0), \frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1)\, H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0),$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g_2(J_k^2(0), \frac{DJ_k^2}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1)\, H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{\imath}(x_0)).$$

From (7) the previous Jacobi fields J_k^1 in $\mathbb{M}_1$ satisfy

$$|J_k^1(t)| = |J_i^1(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(t)| = |\frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(t)|, \qquad \text{with} \quad i,k = 1,...,n-1.$$

Now, we define the functions a_{ik} as the ones given by the equalities

$$J_i^1(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ik}(t)\, e_k(t), \quad i = 1,...,n-1.$$

Consider the new vector fields $W_i(t)$ along $\gamma_2(t)$ given by

$$W_i(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{ik}(t)\, b_k(t), \quad i = 1,...,n-1.$$

In these conditions, $a_{ik}(t_0) = \delta_{ik}$ and $W_i(t_0) = J_i^2(t_0)$. Moreover, by construction,

$$|J_i^1(t)| = |W_i(t)| \quad \text{and} \quad |\frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(t)| = |\frac{DW_i}{dt}(t)|.$$

Observe now that if i_j are constant maps

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(J_i^2(0), \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt}(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(W_i(0), \frac{DW_i}{dt}(0)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g(J_i^1(0), \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(0)) = 0.$$

On the other hand, if the maps i_j are immersions, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(J_k^2(0), \frac{DJ_k^2}{dt}(0)) = -(n-1)\, H_{\mathcal{H}_2}(\bar{\imath}(x_0)) \leq -(n-1)\, H_{\mathcal{H}_1}(x_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} g(J_k^1(0), \frac{DJ_k^1}{dt}(0)).$$

In addition, the fields $W_i(t)$ are also orthogonal on $[0, t_0]$ by construction, and $|W_i(t)| = |W_1(t)|$, $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Hence

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(W_i, \gamma_2')\gamma_2', W_i) = -(n-1)|W_1|^2\, Ric^2(\gamma_2'(t)) \leq$$

$$\leq -(n-1)|J_1|^2\, Ric^1(\gamma_1'(t)) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(R(J_i^1,\gamma_1')\gamma_1', J_i^1),$$

In these conditions, and by the minimizing property of the Jacobi fields, it is obtained

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_2)}(J_i^2(t_0), J_i^2(t_0)) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(J_i^2(0), \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DJ_i^2}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(J_i^2,\gamma_2')\gamma_2', J_i^2) \right) dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(W_i(0), \frac{DW_i}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DW_i}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_2(R(W_i,\gamma_2')\gamma_2', W_i) \right) dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(J_i^1(0), \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt}(0)) + \int_0^{t_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left| \frac{DJ_i^1}{dt} \right|^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_1(R(J_i^1,\gamma_1')\gamma_1', J_i^1) \right) dt$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{I}_{(t_0,\mathcal{H}_1)}(J_i^1(t_0), J_i^1(t_0))$$

as we wanted to show. □

Remark 1. *Observe that a manifold $\mathbb{M}_1$ whose metric is described by (7) in geodesic polar coordinates is classically known as a model manifold (see [GW]).*

4 Existence of barriers in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Our comparison results will allow us to extend some results only known for $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ when $\mathbb{M}^n$ is a space form to general ambient spaces $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, in this section we will follow our approach in [GL] for obtaining some existence and non existence results for hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

From now on, we denote by $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$ the complete simply connected n-dimensional space form of constant curvature c, that is a hyperbolic space if $c < 0$, the Euclidean space if $c = 0$ or a sphere if $c > 0$. Let $s_{c,n} = \frac{n-1}{n}\sqrt{-c}$ be the infimum of the mean curvature of the topological spheres of constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{M}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ when $c < 0$. Also, for each $H_0 > 0$ ($H_0 > s_{c,n}$ if $c < 0$) we denote by $r_{c,n}(H_0)$ the radius of the topological sphere of constant mean curvature H_0 in $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$, and for each $K_0 > 0$ we will denote by $r^*_{c,n}(K_0)$ the radius of the topological sphere of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K_0 > 0$ in the same ambient space.

Let us start with a topological sphere S of constant mean curvature H_0 in $\mathbb{M}^n(c)\times\mathbb{R}$ (see, for instance, [AR, AEG, BE, HH, PR]). Observe that S is unique up to isometries of the ambient

space and only exists for $H_0 > s_{c,n}$ if $c < 0$. Moreover, S is rotational with respect to a vertical axis and symmetric with respect to a horizontal slice. In particular, S is a bigraph over a geodesic ball of $\mathbb{M}^n(c)$ of radius $r_{c,n}(H_0) > 0$.

Thus, let $p \in \mathbb{M}^n(c)$ and (x,t) be geodesic polar coordinates around p. Since S is a rotational surface, the lower part of S can be considered as a graph over the geodesic ball centered at p and radius $r_{c,n}(H_0)$, with height function $h(t)$ which only depends on the distance function t to the point p. Moreover, $h(t)$ is strictly increasing. Hence, this part of the hypersurface S of constant mean curvature can be described as

$$\psi_1(x,t) = (x,t,h(t)) \in \mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that, for convenience, we have deleted the parametrization φ (given by (1)) in the previous expression.

Now, given an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{M}^n$ and geodesic polar coordinates (x,t) around a point $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$, which are well defined for $0 < t \leq r_{c,n}(H_0)$, we can consider the new immersion

$$\psi_2(x,t) = (x,t,h(t)) \in \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Applying the same process for the upper part of S, we obtain a sphere S^* in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ which is a bigraph over the geodesic ball of radius $r_{c,n}(H_0)$ centered at q.

We remark that S^* is symmetric with respect to a horizontal slice as S, and any vertical translation of S^* is congruent to S^*. However, S^* depends strongly on the point $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$, i. e. if we start with another point $\widetilde{q} \in \mathbb{M}^n$ and obtain a new surface $\widetilde{S^*}$ following the same process then S^* and $\widetilde{S^*}$ are not isometric in general. If the Ricci curvature in the radial directions on $B_r(q) \subset \mathbb{M}$ are greater than or equal to c, for all the geodesics $\gamma(t)$ in $\mathbb{M}$ emanating from q, using Theorem 2, we have that the mean curvature of S^* satisfies $H(S^*) \leq H_0$. With all of this we obtain

Theorem 3. *Let B_r be a closed geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ in an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{M}^n$, and c the minimum of the Ricci curvature in the radial directions of unit vectors $\gamma'(t)$ on B_r, for all the geodesics $\gamma(t)$ in $\mathbb{M}^n$ emanating from the center of B_r. Consider $H_0 > 0$ such that $r_{c,n}(H_0) = r$. Then, there is no vertical graph over B_r with minimum of its mean curvature satisfying $min(H) \geq H_0$.*

Proof. Assume Σ is a graph over B_r with $min(H) \geq H_0$ for a unit normal N. Without loss of generality, we assume that the unit normal N points upwards.

Let $q \in \mathbb{M}^n$ be the center of the geodesic disk B_r and consider the sphere S^* centered at q previously obtained, which has mean curvature smaller than or equal to H_0 for its inner normal.

Move the sphere S^* up until Σ is below S^*, and go down until S^* intersects Σ for the first time. Then, the classical maximum principle for mean curvature asserts that both surfaces must agree locally. In particular, Σ and S^* have constant mean curvature H_0 and Σ agrees with the lower hemisphere of S^*. However, this is a contradiction because S^* is not a strict graph over the boundary of B_r since its unit normal is horizontal at those points. □

Theorem 4. *Let B_r be a closed geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ in an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold $\mathbb{M}^n$, $c := min\{K_p(\pi) : \partial_t \in \pi, p \in B_r\}$ be the minimum of the radial sectional curvatures on B_r, and $K_0 > 0$ such that $r^*_{c,n}(K_0) = r$. Then, there is no vertical graph over B_r with minimum of its Gauss-Kronecker curvature satisfying $min(K) \geq K_0$ and a point with definite second fundamental form.*

Proof. The proof follows the same process that in Theorem 3, taking now a sphere with constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature in $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ (see, for instance, [EGR, ES]), and using Theorem 1. The requirement of the graph of having a point with definite second fundamental form is now needed for using the maximum principle. □

Remark 2. *It should be observed that a similar result to Theorem 4 is possible for any r-mean curvature H_r, with $2 \leq r \leq n$, and not only for the Gauss-Kronecker curvature H_n.*

Theorem 5. *Let $\mathbb{M}^n$ be a complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold with injectivity radius $i > 0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ the infimum of its Ricci curvature on $\mathbb{M}^n$. Consider a properly embedded hypersurface Σ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with mean curvature $H \geq H_0 > 0$, ($H_0 > s_{c,n}$ if $c < 0$). If $r_{c,n}(H_0) < i$ then the mean convex component of Σ cannot contain a closed geodesic ball in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of radius greater than or equal to the extrinsic semi-diameter of a sphere with constant mean curvature H_0 in $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the maximum principle and follows the same process that [GL, Theorem 2], using now Theorem 2. □

Also observe that a weaker version of Theorem 5 is possible for the r-mean curvatures H_r, $2 \leq r \leq n$, using Theorem 1.

Let $\mathbb{M}^n$ be a Hadamard manifold, that is, a complete simply connected Riemannian manifold with non-positive sectional curvature. Since its injectivity radius is $i = \infty$, we obtain as a consequence of the previous result:

Corollary 1. *Let $H_0 > 0$ and $\mathbb{M}^n$ be a Hadamard manifold with infimum of its Ricci curvature $c > -\infty$. Then, there exists no entire horizontal graph in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with mean curvature $H \geq H_0 > s_{c,n}$.*

Let us denote by $S_{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ the simply connected rotational entire vertical graph with constant mean curvature $H = \frac{n-1}{n}$ in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. This graph has been described in [BE]. Again, as a consequence of our comparison results, if we consider the corresponding entire vertical graph $S^*_{\frac{n-1}{n}}$ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we have:

Corollary 2. *Let $\mathbb{M}^n$ be an n-dimensional Hadamard manifold with Ricci curvature smaller than or equal to -1. Assume Σ is an immersed hypersurface in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with mean curvature $H \leq \frac{n-1}{n}$ and cylindrically bounded vertical ends. Then Σ must have more than one end.*

We obtain now a generalization to $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of a theorem proven in [BE] for the product space $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

Theorem 6. *Let $\mathbb{M}^n$ be an n-dimensional Hadamard manifold with sectional curvature pinched between $-c^2$ and -1, for a constant $c \geq 1$. Let Ω be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$, with boundary given by a compact embedded hypersurface Γ. Assume all the principal curvatures of Γ are greater than c, then for any $H_0 \in [0, \frac{n-1}{n}]$ there exists a graph h over Ω with constant mean curvature H_0 and zero boundary data.*

Moreover, if Σ is a compact hypersurface immersed in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary Γ and constant mean curvature H_0 then, up to a symmetry with respect to $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$, Σ agrees with the previous graph.

Proof. Observe that Ω must be a convex bounded domain in $\mathbb{M}^n$ and homeomorphic to a ball, and Γ must be homeomorphic to a sphere (see [Al]).

Let m_0 be the minimum of the principal curvatures of Γ. Since $m_0 > c$ we can take a radius R_0 big enough such that for every $R > R_0$ the geodesic spheres of radius R in the hyperbolic space of seccional curvature $-c^2$ have principal curvatures smaller than m_0. As the sectional curvature of $\mathbb{M}^n$ is bigger than or equal to $-c^2$, the geodesic spheres in $\mathbb{M}^n$ of radius $R \geq R_0$ have principal curvatures smaller than m_0.

Let $p \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma_p(t)$ be the geodesic in $\mathbb{M}^n$ starting at p with initial speed given by the unit normal to Γ pointing to Ω. It is clear that the geodesic sphere $S_p(R) \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$ centered at $\gamma_p(R)$ and radius R is tangent to Γ at p. Moreover, if $R \geq R_0$ the open geodesic ball bounded by $S_p(R)$ contains a punctured neighborhood of $p \in \Gamma$ because the principal curvatures of $S_p(R)$ are bigger than the principal curvatures of Γ at p for the same interior unit normal.

Let $S_0 \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$ be a geodesic sphere such that Γ is contained in the geodesic ball bounded by S_0, and the distance from S_0 to Γ is greater than or equal to R_0. Then, consider the map $G : \Gamma \to S_0$ defined in the following way: given $p \in \Gamma$ the point $G(p)$ is given by the intersection of the geodesic $\gamma_p(t)$ for $t \geq 0$ with S_0. It is well known that the previous intersection is given by a unique point due to the convexity of the geodesic spheres (see, for instance, [Al]).

Thus, if we denote by S_p the geodesic sphere centered at $G(p)$ passing across $p \in \Gamma$, then we have shown that S_p is tangent to Γ at p and a punctured neighborhood of p in Γ is contained in the open geodesic ball bounded by S_p. In fact, we assert

Claim: For every $p \in \Gamma$ the closed geodesic ball bounded by S_p contains to Γ.

Observe that if $G : \Gamma \to S_0$ is injective then the Claim would be proven. Indeed, if there existed $p_1 \in \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma \not\subseteq S_{p_1}$ then there would be a point $p_2 \neq p_1$ such that $d(p_2, G(p_1)) \geq d(p, G(p_1))$ for all $p \in \Gamma$. Thus, the geodesic sphere centered at $G(p_1)$ passing across p_2 is tangent to Γ and contains Γ in its interior, and so $G(p_2) = G(p_1)$.

Hence, assume there exist two points $p_1, p_2 \in \Gamma$ such that $G(p_1) = G(p_2)$. In such a case, we have shown that p_1 and p_2 are two strict local maxima for the distance function $\varrho(p)$ from $p \in \Gamma$ to the fixed point $G(p_1) = G(p_2)$. Now, we distinguish two cases depending on the dimension of Γ:

1. If dim(Γ)≥ 2 then we can use the mountain pass lemma for the function ϱ and there must exist a third point p_3 which is a saddle point for ϱ. Thus, the geodesic sphere $\widetilde{S}_{p_3}$ centered at $G(p_1)$ passing across p_3 is tangent to Γ. Therefore, depending on the orientation of the unit normal to Γ, we have that $\widetilde{S}_{p_3} = S_{p_3}$ or $\widetilde{S}_{p_3} \cap S_{p_3} = \{p_3\}$. But, this contradicts that p_3 is a saddle point, because a punctured neighborhood of p_3 is contained in the interior of the geodesic ball bounded by S_{p_3}.

2. If dim(Γ)$= 1$ then we can consider the two closed arcs Γ_1 and Γ_2 of Γ joining p_1 and p_2. Since p_1 and p_2 are strict local maxima for the function ϱ, there must exist $p_3 \in \Gamma_1$ and $p_4 \in \Gamma_2$ different from p_1 and p_2 which are local minima for ϱ. Assume $\varrho(p_3) \leq \varrho(p_4)$, then from the convexity of $\overline{\Omega}$ the geodesic arc Λ joining p_3 and p_4 is contained in $\overline{\Omega}$. But, $\Lambda \backslash \{p_3, p_4\}$ is contained in the open geodesic ball centered at $G(p_1)$ and radius $\varrho(p_4)$ from the convexity of the geodesic ball. This contradicts that p_4 is a minimum for ϱ.

Once the Claim is proven, consider a compact hypersurface Σ immersed in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with boundary Γ and constant mean curvature H_0.

Let S be the rotational entire graph with constant mean curvature H_0 in $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ for its unit normal pointing upwards. Consider a point $p \in \Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n$ and the associated entire graph $S^* \subseteq \mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ when we use geodesic polar coordinates at $G(p) \in \mathbb{M}^n$. Up to a vertical translation we can assume that $S^* \cap \mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$ is the geodesic sphere centered at $G(p)$ and containing to p in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$. Thus, from the previous Claim, $\Gamma \times \{0\}$ is contained in the closed mean convex component of S^*, and $(p, 0) \in S^*$.

Let us also denote by $\overline{S^*}$ the reflection of S^* with respect to the horizontal slice $\mathbb{M}^n \times \{0\}$. The entire graphs S^* and $\overline{S^*}$ are congruent, and from Theorem 1 we obtain that they have mean curvature $H \geq H_0$ for its unit normal pointing to the mean convex component.

As Σ is compact we can move vertically S^* in such a way that Σ is completely contained in the mean convex component of S^*. Now, from the maximum principle, if we move back S^* then the surfaces Σ and S^* do not intersect until S^* is in its initial position. The same is true for $\overline{S^*}$.

Therefore, for every $p \in \Gamma$ the hypersurface Σ is contained in the compact domain determined by the intersection of the mean convex components of S^* and $\overline{S^*}$. In particular, the interior of Σ is contained in the solid cylinder $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$, and if Σ was given by the graph of a function h then its height is bounded a priori and so is its gradient at the boundary Γ.

Now we can prove that there exists a graph h over Ω with constant mean curvature $H_0 \in [0, \frac{n-1}{n}]$ and zero boundary data. That is, we want to solve the following Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} div\left(\dfrac{\nabla h}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla h|^2}}\right) = n\, H_0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ h = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

where the divergence and gradient ∇h are taken with respect to the metric on $\mathbb{M}^n$ (see [Sp]).

We have proven the existence of height estimates and gradient estimates at the boundary. Hence, from [Sp], we also have global gradient estimates, and the existence of h follows from the classical elliptic theory (see [GT] and [Sp]).

Finally, we want to show that if Σ is a compact hypersurface in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ with constant mean curvature H_0 and boundary Γ, then it is the graph of the previous function h or $-h$.

First, let us observe that Σ is a vertical graph. In fact, we have shown that Σ is contained in the cylinder $\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}$ and Σ has no interior point in $\Gamma \times \mathbb{R}$. Thus, we can use the maximum principle with respect to horizontal slices from the highest point of Σ to the lowest point of Σ, which proves that Σ is a graph.

Moreover, let Σ_0 be the graph of h or $-h$ which points in the same direction (upwards or downwards) as Σ. Moving Σ vertically upwards until Σ and Σ_0 are disjoint, and coming down again we observe that, from the maximum principle, Σ cannot touch Σ_0 till the boundaries agree. Hence, Σ is above Σ_0. Repeating the same process, but moving now Σ vertically downwards, one has Σ is below Σ_0. Therefore, Σ and Σ_0 agree, as we wanted to show. □

Remark 3. *It is an interesting open question if, under the previous conditions on $\mathbb{M}^n$, there exists an entire vertical graph over $\mathbb{M}^n$ for every constant mean curvature $H_0 \in (0, (n-1)/n]$.*

Theorem 7. *Let B_r be a closed geodesic ball of radius $r > 0$ in $\mathbb{M}^n$, $c := max\{K_p(\pi) : \partial_t \in \pi, p \in B_r\}$ be the maximum of the radial sectional curvatures on B_r, and $K_0 > 0$ such that $r^*_{c,n}(K_0) = r$. Then, there exists a strictly convex graph h_K over B_r of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K > 0$ in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ y $h_K|_{\partial B_r} = 0$, for any $K < K_0$.*

Proof. Let us consider a sphere S in $\mathbb{M}^n(c) \times \mathbb{R}$ with positive constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K < K_0$. From Theorem 1, the corresponding sphere S^* in $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, using polar coordinates at the center of B_r, has Gauss-Kronecker curvature greater than or equal to K, and so it is a subsolution for the existence of the graph we are looking for. Thus, from [Gu] (see also [Sp]) there exists a strictly convex graph of constant Gauss-Kronecker curvature K and zero boundary data. □

References

[AR] U. Abresch, H. Rosenberg, *A Hopf differential for constant mean curvature surfaces in $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$*. Acta Math. **193** (2004), nº 2, 141–174.

[AEG] J.A. Aledo, J.M. Espinar, J.A. Gálvez, *Height estimates for surfaces with positive constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}$*. Illinois J. Math. **52** (2008), nº 1, 203–211.

[Al] S. Alexander, *Locally convex hypersurfaces of negatively curved spaces*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1977), no. 2, 321–325.

[BE] P. Bérard, R. Sa Earp. *Examples of H-hypersurfaces in* $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ *and geometric applications*. Mat. Contemp. **34** (2008), 19–51.

[ES] M.F. Elbert, R. Sa Earp, *Constructions of* H_r*-hypersurfaces, barriers and Alexandrov Theorem in* $\mathbb{H}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, arxiv.org/pdf/1402.6886.pdf; preprint (2014).

[EGR] J.M. Espinar, J.A. Gálvez, H. Rosenberg, *Complete surfaces with positive extrinsic curvature in product spaces*. Comment. Math. Helv. **84** (2009), nº 2, 351–386.

[ER] J.M. Espinar, H. Rosenberg, *Complete constant mean curvature surfaces and Berstein type teorems in* $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. J. Diff. Geometry **82** (2009), nº 3, 611–628.

[GL] J.A. Gálvez, V. Lozano, *Existence of barriers for surfaces with prescribed curvatures in* $\mathbb{M}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$. J. Diff. Equations **255** (2013), nº 7, 1828–1838.

[GT] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order.* Springer–Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1983.

[GW] R.E. Green, H. Wu. *Function Theory on Manifolds which possess a pole*. Springer-Verlag, Lectures notes in mathematics 699, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1979.

[Gu] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for Monge-Ampère equations in nonconvex domains and spacelike hypersurfaces of constant Gauss curvature*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **350** (1998), nº 12, 4955-4971.

[HH] W.Y. Hsiang y W.T. Hsiang, *On the uniqueness of isoperimetric solutions and imbedded soap bubbles in noncompact simmetric spaces*. Invent. Math. **98** (1998), nº 1, 39–58.

[NSST] B. Nelli, R. Sa Earp, W. Santos, E. Toubiana, *Uniqueness of H-surfaces in* $\mathbb{H}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $|H| \leq 1/2$, *with boundary one or two parallel horizontal circles*. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **33** (2008), nº 4, 307–321.

[PR] R. Pedrosa, M. Ritoré, *Isoperimetric domains in the Riemannian product of a circle with a simple connected space form and applications to free boundary problems*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999), nº 4, 1357–1394.

[Sp] J. Spruck, *Interior gradient estimates and existence theorems for constant mean curvature graphs in* $\mathbb{M}^n \times \mathbb{R}$. Pure Appl. Math. Q. **3** (2007), nº 3, 785–800.

[Wa] F.W. Warner, *Extensions of the Rauch comparison theorem to submanifolds*, Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **122** (1966) 341–356.